CETIFICATION

SDG No:

MC45816

Humacao, PR

Laboratory:

Accutest, Massachusetts

Site:

BMS, Building 5 Area, PR

Matrix:

Groundwater

SUMMARY:

Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Building 5 Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken May 6-9, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Marlborough, Massachusetts that reported the data under SDG No.: MC45816. Results were validated using the following quality control criteria of the methods employed (MADEP VPH and MAPED EPH, Massachusets Department of Environmental Protection, 2004) and the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

| SAMPLE ID | SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | MATRIX | ANALYSIS PERFORMED |
|------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| MC45816-1 | RA6-GWD | Groundwater | Volatiles TPHC Ranges |
| MC45816-1A | RA6-GWD | Groundwater | Extractable TPHC Ranges |
| MC45816-3 | RA-5 GWS | Groundwater | Volatiles TPHC Ranges |
| MC45816-3A | RA-5 GWD | Groundwater | Extractable TPHC Ranges |
| MC45816-4 | S-41S | Groundwater | Volatiles TPHC Ranges |
| MC45816-4A | S-41S | Groundwater | Extractable TPHC Ranges |
| MC45816-5 | S-41SD | Groundwater | Volatiles TPHC Ranges |
| MC45816-5A | S-41SD | Groundwater | Extractable TPHC Ranges |

Rafael h

Méndez

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

May 31, 2016

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA6-GWD Lab Sample ID: MC45816-1

Matrix: Method: AQ - Ground Water

MADEP VPH REV 1.1

Date Sampled: Date Received:

05/06/16 05/10/16

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico Percent Solids: n/a

File ID DF Ву Analyzed Prep Date **Analytical Batch** Prep Batch Run #1 BH39130.D 05/10/16 1 DF GBH2300 n/a n/a

Run #2

Purge Volume $5.0 \, ml$

Run #1 Run #2

Volatile TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|------|-------|---|
| | C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 66.9 | 50 | 40 | ug/l | |
| | C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 46.6 | 50 | 40 | ug/l | I |
| | C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) | ND | 50 | 40 | ug/l | |
| | C5- C8 Aliphatics | 47.7 | 50 | 40 | ug/l | J |
| | C9- C12 Aliphatics | ND | 50 | 40 | ug/l | |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run#1 | Run# 2 | Lim | its | |
| | 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene | 88% | | 70-1 | 30% | |
| | 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene | 85% | | | 30% | |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

By

TA

Page 1 of I

Client Sample ID: RA6-GWD Lab Sample ID: MC45816-1A Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

Date Sampled: Date Received:

05/06/16 05/10/16

Method: Project:

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Percent Solids: n/a

File ID DF

1

Run #1 Run #2 Analyzed 05/13/16

Prep Date 05/11/16

Prep Batch **OP47446**

Analytical Batch GDE790

Initial Volume **Final Volume** 880 ml 2.0 ml

Run #1 Run #2

Extractable TPHC Ranges

DE14083.D

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL, | MDL | Units | Q |
|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) C9-C18 Aliphatics C19-C36 Aliphatics C11-C22 Aromatics | ND ND ND ND | 110 110 110 110 | 80 80 80 80 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run#1 | Run# 2 | Limi | its | |
| 84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2 | o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene | 75% 84% 51% 87% | | 40-1- 40-1- 40-1- 40-1- | 40% 40% | |



ND - Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA-5 GWS Lab Sample ID: MC45816-3

Matrix: Method: AQ - Ground Water

MADEP VPH REV 1.1

DF

1

Date Sampled: Date Received:

n/a

05/09/16 05/10/16

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico Percent Solids:

Analyzed **Analytical Batch** By Prep Date Prep Batch 05/10/16 DF n/a **GBH2300**

Run #1 Run #2

Purge Volume

Run #1

 $5.0 \, ml$

File ID

BH39133.D

Run #2

Volatile TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|------|-------|---|
| | C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 80.9 | 50 | 40 | ug/l | |
| | C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | 533 | 50 | 40 | ug/l | |
| | C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 388 | 50 | 40 | ug/l | |
| | C5- C8 Aliphatics | 78.1 | 50 | 40 | ug/l | |
| | C9- C12 Aliphatics | 143 | 50 | 40 | ug/l | |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run#1 | Run# 2 | Lim | its | |
| | 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene | 88% | | 70-1 | 30% | |
| | 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene | 85% | | 70-1 | 30% | |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA-5 GWS Lab Sample ID:

MC45816-3A

Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

DF

1

MADEP EPH REV 1:1 SW846 3510C

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 05/09/16

Date Received: 05/10/16

Percent Solids:

Run #1

Method:

Project:

File ID DE14084.D

Analyzed By 05/13/16 TA Prep Date 05/11/16

Prep Batch **OP47446**

Analytical Batch GDE790

Run #2

Initial Volume 890 ml

Final Volume 2.0 ml

Run #1 Run #2

Extractable TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|---------|----------------------------|--------|--------|-----|-------|---|
| | C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 221 | 110 | 79 | սց/l | |
| | C9-C18 Aliphatics | 94.6 | 110 | 79 | ug/l | J |
| | C19-C36 Aliphatics | ND | 110 | 79 | ug/l | _ |
| | C11-C22 Aromatics | 217 | 110 | 79 | ug/l | |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run# 1 | Run# 2 | Lim | its | |

| | O11 O22 / Homatics | | 110 | 15 ug/ |
|-----------|----------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run# 1 | Run# 2 | Limits |
| 84-15-1 | o-Terphenyl | 86% | | 40-140% |
| 321-60-8 | 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 91% | | 40-140% |
| 3386-33-2 | 1-Chlorooctadecane | 68% | | 40-140% |
| 580-13-2 | 2-Bromonaphthalene | 86% | | 40-140% |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-41S

Lab Sample ID: MC45816-4

Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

Date Sampled: Date Received:

05/09/16

DF

1

05/10/16

Method:

MADEP VPH REV 1:1

Percent Solids:

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Run #1

File ID BH39134.D Analyzed 05/10/16

By DF

n/a

MDL

40

40

40

40

Prep Date

Units

ug/l

ug/t

ug/l

ug/l

Prep Batch n/a

Analytical Batch GBH2300

Run #2

Purge Volume

Run #1

Run #2

CAS No.

Volatile TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | |
|---------|----------|--|
| | | |

5.0 ml

| C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) |
|-----------------------------|
| C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) |

Run#1

Result

ND

ND

ND

RL

50

50

50

50

2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene

Surrogate Recoveries

70-130% 70-130%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-41S

Lab Sample ID: MC45816-4A

Matrix: Method: AQ - Ground Water

Project:

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: Date Received:

05/09/16 05/10/16

Percent Solids:

| | File ID | DF | Analyzed | By | Prep Date | Prep Batch | Analytical Batch |
|--------|-----------|----|----------|----|-----------|------------|------------------|
| Run #1 | DE14085.D | 1 | 05/13/16 | TA | 05/11/16 | OP47446 | GDF790 |

Run #2

Initial Volume Final Volume

Run #1

1000 ml

2.0 ml

Run #2

Extractable TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) C9-C18 Aliphatics C19-C36 Aliphatics C11-C22 Aromatics | ND ND ND ND | 100 100 100 100 | 70 70 70 70 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run# 1 | Run# 2 | Lim | _ | |
| 84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 | o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane | 87% 87% 73% | 40-140% 40-140% 40-140% | | | |
| 580-13-2 | 2-Bromonaphthalene | 81% | | | 40% | |



Report of Analysis

Ву

DF

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

S-41SD MC45816-5

Matrix: Method: AQ - Ground Water

MADEP VPH REV 1.1

Date Sampled: Date Received:

05/09/16 05/10/16

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Percent Solids: n/a

Run #1

DF BH39135.D 1

Analyzed 05/10/16

Prep Date n/a

Prep Batch n/a

Analytical Batch GBH2300

Run #2

Purge Volume

Run #1

5.0 ml

File ID

Run #2

Volatile TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|------|-------|---|
| | C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | ND | 50 | 40 | ug/l | |
| | C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | ND | 50 | 40 | ug/l | |
| | C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) | ND | 50 | 40 | ug/l | |
| | C5- C8 Aliphatics | ND | 50 | 40 | ug/l | |
| | C9- C12 Aliphatics | ND | 50 | 40 | ug/l | |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run# 1 | Run# 2 | Lim | its | |
| | 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene | 85% | | 70-1 | 30% | |
| | 2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene | 82% | | | 30% | |



Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

| Client Sample ID: | S-41SD |
|-------------------|--------|
|-------------------|--------|

Lab Sample ID: MC45816-5A

Matrix: Method:

Project:

AQ - Ground Water

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 05/09/16 Date Received: 05/10/16

Percent Solids:

| Run #1 | File ID DE14086.D | DF | Analyzed 05/13/16 | Ву | Prep Date 05/11/16 | Prep Batch OP47446 | Analytical Batch GDE790 |
|--------|----------------------|----|----------------------|----|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Kun #1 | ח'מפתהושת | 1 | 03/13/10 | IA | 02/11/10 | UP47446 | GDE/90 |

Run #2

Initial Volume Final Volume 980 ml

Run #1

2.0 ml

Run #2

Extractable TPHC Ranges

| CAS No. | Compound | Result | RL | MDL | Units | Q |
|--|--|--------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) C9-C18 Aliphatics C19-C36 Aliphatics C11-C22 Aromatics | ND ND ND ND | 100 100 100 100 | 71 71 71 71 | ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l | |
| CAS No. | Surrogate Recoveries | Run#1 | Run# 2 | Lim | its | |
| 84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2 | o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene | 93% 87% 74% 81% | 40-140% 40-140% 40-140% 40-140% | | | |



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

| SGS ACCU | JTEST - WE | CHAIN | OF C | USTOD D E | align to Manacher Marillan singhi | 80° | 196056972 | | MGE [C | 5876 |
|--|---|----------|----------------------|---|--|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|
| August Mind of the Inc. | BHG | Rollings | History | smont | spect but | | Aleman | | | Aletrix Codes DW - Dimbing Wate OW - Ground Wate WW - Wester SW - Surface Wate BO - Seria SL - Stratge SE-D-Sectorers |
| Terry Toyler (1) | Prince 8 Clant Purchase Order 9 Present Monager | 25-125-1 | Plant Address | Show | Zo | VPH | <u> </u> | | | OI - OI LIS - Other Lique AR - Ay SCL - Other Batel WP - Won Fill-Finkt Shee Ell-Equipment Shee Fill-Finet Sheet TS-Trip Claret |
| NSS Assert Field ID / Point of Collection | All CONCENTRAL P | A JANY 1 | 7 5 | | PODE NOT THE PROPERTY OF THE P | XXX | | | | LAB USE ONLY |
| 2 (617) S 2 (6-7) MSD -3 6 GMS | 5/9/1 5/9/1 5/9/1 5/9/1 | 120 | | 5 | 2 3 2 3 | X X X X | | | | 17A 1884 17A |
| -5 RA-5 (5-10) | \$74/8 579/1 5/3/1 | | R &W T 50 T 50 | 5 6 | 2 3 | XX | | | | 7F2 |
| Turnishmed Time (Bysened firm) | O, and by process accounts the party | | | Data Onliverable 6"A" (Lavel 1) 6"B" (Lavel 2) | Marrianges WASP Case MYASP Case | | | Comments / Special | I Instructions | |
| S Day RUSSN 3 Day RUSSN Day RUSSN other Framphing & Shath Tillstone aventure Vill Latting | | | | | State Forms State Forms SDO Forms Other Doctor figurating | | LABEL | VERIFICATION | w | |
| TO THE STATE OF TH | (6 1500 F | ed EX | of latine each | | FEDD | uffing neurlar | delivery. See Time. See Time. | /is verified upon r | 2-72-C | ourstory |

. . . .

MC45816: Chain of Custody
Page 1 of 3

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

MC45816

Laboratory:

Accutest, Massachusetts

Analysis:

MADEP EPH

Number of Samples:

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Four (4) samples were analyzed for Extractable TPHC Ranges by method MADEP EPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

None

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

May 31, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

.

Sample ID: MC45969-1A

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/6/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

| Analyte Name | Result | Units D | ilution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | υ | Yes |
| Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | Ų | Yes |

Sample ID: MC45969-3A

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/9/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

| Analyte Name | Result | Units D | ilution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 221 | ug/L | 1 | - | - | Yes |
| Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics | 94.6 | ug/L | 1 | J | UJ | Yes |
| Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | υ | Yes |
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics | 217 | ug/L | 1 | - | - | Yes |

Sample ID: MC45969-4A

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/9/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

| Analyte Name | Result | Units | Dilution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|-------|-----------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | 2 | U | Yes |
| Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | | υ | Yes |
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |

Sample ID: MC45969-5A

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/9/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

| Analyte Name | Result | Units | Dilution Factor | Lab Flag | Validation | Reportable |
|------------------------------|--------|-------|-----------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.) | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç9 - C18 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |
| Ç11 - C22 Aromatics | 110 | ug/L | 1 | - | U | Yes |

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

| Type of validation | Full:X Limited: | Project Number:_MC45816 |
|--|---|---|
| REVIEW OF EXT | RACTABLE PETROLE | EUM HYDROCARBON (EPHs) PACKAGE |
| validation actions. This more informed decision were assessed according precedence METHOD HYDROCARBONS (VF (2004). Also the gener Support Section. The Q | document will assist the n and in better serving thing to the data validation FOR THE DETERMPH), Massachusetts Depart validation guidelines | de organics were created to delineate required reviewer in using professional judgment to make the needs of the data users. The sample results on guidance documents in the following order of MINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM artment of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes ation actions listed on the data review worksheets to otherwise noted. |
| The hardcopied (laboreceived has been review review for SVOCs include | ratory name) _Accutes ewed and the quality con ded: | st_Laboratories data package at land performance data summarized. The data |
| Equipment Dank No.: _ | 4 | Sample matrix: _Groundwater/Soil |
| X Data CompleteX Holding TimesN/A GC/MS TuningN/A Internal StandX BlanksX Surrogate Rec | eness 3 ard Performance | X_ Laboratory Control SpikesX_ Field DuplicatesX_ CalibrationsX_ Compound IdentificationsX_ Compound QuantitationX_ Quantitation Limits |
| Overail _Extractable_Petroleum (C9_to_C36_Aliphatics; | _Hydrocarbons_by_GC _C11_to_C22_(Aromatic | Comments: _by_Method_MADEP_EPH,_REV_1.1 |
| Definition of Qualifiers: | | |
| J- Estimated result U- Compound not of Rejected data UJ- Estimated none Reviewer: | detected | |
| Date:_05/31/2016 <u>/</u> | - | |

| | Criteria were not n | All criteria were metx net and/or see below |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| I. DATA COMPLETNE A. Data Packag | | |
| MISSING INFORMATION | DATE LAB. CONTACTED | DATE RECEIVED |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| B. Other | | Discrepancies: |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

| All criteria were met | _X |
|--|----|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

| SAMPLE ID | DATE SAMPLED | DATE EXTRACTED | DATE ANALYZED | ACTION |
|-----------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | |
| Samples | extracted and ar | nalyzed within me | thod recommende | ed holding time |
| | | | | |
| | | | | W |

Criteria

Preservation:

Aqueous samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Soil samples must be cooled at 4 ± 2 °C immediately after collection.

Holding times:

Samples must be extracted within 14 days of collection, and analyzed within 40 days of extraction.

| Cooler temperature | (Criteria: 4 | + 2 °C): | _5.6°C | |
|--------------------|--------------|----------|--------|--|
|--------------------|--------------|----------|--------|--|

Actions: Qualify positive results/nondetects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ). If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R). If samples were not at the proper temperature (> 10°C) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

| | All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| CALIBRAT | IONS VERIFIC | CATION | | | |
| Complianc ensure the quantitative | at the instrum | s for satisfactory in ment is capable of | nstrument calibration producing and mai | are established to ntaining acceptable | |
| Dat | e of initial calib | ration:02/04 | /16 | | |
| Dat | es of initial cali | bration verification: | 02/04/13 | | |
| Inst | rument ID num | bers:GCD | E | | |
| Mat | rix/Level: | _AQUEOUS/MEDIU! | VI | | |
| | | | | | |
| DATE | LAB FILE ID# | ANALYTE | CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r | SAMPLES AFFECTED | |
| 1-141-111 | Initial calibration and initial calibration verification meet method specific requirements. | | | | |
| Iniual call | <u>pration and init</u> | iai calidration ventica | ation meet method spe | citic requirements. | |
| | | | | | |

Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest.
 When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, C19-C36 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, and C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.
 - o The area for the surrogates must be subtracted from the area summation of the range in which they elute.
 - The areas associated with naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphatic range standard must be subtracted from the uncorrected collective C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbon range area prior to calculating the CF.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Criteria- CCAL

- At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples or every 24 hours (whichever is more frequent), and at the end of the analytical sequence by the injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.
- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than ±25%, a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte. Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects. If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

| Date of initial calibration:02/04/ | 16 |
|--|-------------|
| Dates of continuing calibration verification | on:05/13/16 |
| Dates of final calibration verification: | 05/13/16 |
| Instrument ID numbers:GCDE | |
| Matrix/Level:_SOIL/AQUEOUS/MEDIUM | Λ |
| | |

| DATE | LAB FILE ID# | ANALYTE | CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r | SAMPLES AFFECTED |
|-----------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Continuir | ng and ending | calibration verificati | on meet method speci | fic requirements. |

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

| 1 & 2) |
|--|
| 1 (x 2) |
| elts is to determine the existence and eria for evaluation of blanks apply only to trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. It is colored with the case must be carefully an inherent variability in the data for the ce not affecting other data. A Laboratory spected of being highly contaminated to |
| h and low levels blanks must be treated |
| |
| MPOUND CONCENTRATION UNITS |
| ECIFIC CRITERIA |
| |
| POUND CONCENTRATION UNITS |
| LYZED_ASSOCIATED_WITH_THIS |
| ti i sos i s |

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

| All criteria were met _ | _X |
|--|----|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is \geq SQL but < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

SAMPLE ID

| All criteria were met _ | _X |
|--|----|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

ACTION

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment. List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery. Matrix: solid/aqueous

SURROGATE COMPOUND

| | | | | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
|---|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|---|
| | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | |
| _SURROGATE_LIMITS | _STAND | ARDS_RECOVE | RIES_WITH | IIN_LABORAT | ORY_CONTROL_ |
| | | | | | |
| S1 = o-Terpher | vl 40-14 | 0% | S2 - 2 E | uorobiphenyl | 40 1400/ |
| S3 = 1-Chloroo | | | | romonaphthale | |
| QC Limits (%)* _LL_to_UL_ QC Limits* (Sol | 40_to_14 |) 040_to_140 | 40_to_ | 14040_to | _140_ |
| _LL_to_UL_ | to | to | to | to | |

It is recommended that surrogate standard recoveries be monitored and documented on a continuing basis. At a minimum, when surrogate recovery from a sample, blank, or QC sample is less than 40% or more than 140%, check calculations to locate possible errors, check the fortifying standard solution for degradation, and check changes in instrument performance.

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- (1) Obvious interference is present on the chromatogram (e.g., unresolved complex mixture);
- (2) The surrogate exhibits high recovery and associated target analytes or hydrocarbon ranges are not detected in sample.

If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

MS/MSD Bosoveries and Descision Original

| All criteria were metX |
|--|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below |

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- Matrix duplicate Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 40 140% of the true value. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.</p>

| Sample ID: | | | Matrix | /Level: | |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|----------|------------------|--------|
| List the %Rs, R | PD of the compounds | which do no | t meet 1 | the QC criteria. | |
| MS OR MSD | COMPOUND | % R | RPD | QC LIMITS | ACTION |
| | | ~ | | • | S |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

duplicate used to assess accuracy.

Note: No MS/MSD analyzed. No action taken, blank spike/blank spike

9

| All criteria were met | X |
|--|---|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

No action is taken on MS/MSD results alone to qualify the entire case. However, used informed professional judgment, the data reviewer may use the MS/MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data. In those instances where it can be determined that the results of the MS/MSD affect only the sample spiked, the qualification should be limited to this sample alone. However, it may be determined through the MS/MSD results that the laboratory is having a systematic problem in the analysis of one or more analytes, which affects the associated samples.

2. MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds

List the concentrations of the unspiked compounds and determine the % RSDs of these compounds in the unspiked sample, matrix spike, and matrix spike duplicate.

| 9 | CONCENTR | | | | |
|----------|----------|----|----------|------|--------|
| COMPOUND | SAMPLE | MS | MSD | %RPD | ACTION |
| | 200 | | | | |
| 200 | | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3 32 | | | | | |
| | | | - Jan 15 | | |
| | | | | | - |
| | | | | | |

Criteria: None specified, use %RSD ≤ 50 as professional judgment.

Actions:

If the % RSD > 50, qualify the results in the spiked sample as estimate (J). If the % RSD is not calculable (NC) due to nondetect value in the sample, MS, and/or MSD, use professional judgment to qualify sample data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

| | | All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | VIII. | LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS | |
| matrice | This da | ata is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various | |
| | 1. | LCS Recoveries Criteria | |
| | | List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria | |
| LCS ID |) | COMPOUND % R QC LIMIT ACTION | |
| LCS | _RECC | OVERY_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMTS | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Criteria * * Actions | Refer to QAPP for specific criteria. The spike recovery must be between 40% and 140%. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible. If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative. RPD between LCS/LCSD must be < 25%. | |
| | Actions that are the crite | s on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds e outside the %R and RPD criteria and the magnitude of the excedance of eria. | |
| the ass If the % for the a If more | ociated R of thaffected than had all posi | ne analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in I samples and accept nondetects. ne analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects d analyte in the associated samples. alf the compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, tive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the mples. | |
| 2. | Freque | ncy Criteria: | |
| Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples per matrix)? Yes or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected. Discuss the actions below: | | | |

| | | Crit | All crite eria were not met and | | e metX below |
|--|---|--|--|----------------------------------|---|
| IX. FIELD/LAE | BORATOR | Y DUPLICATE PR | ECISION | | |
| Sample IDs: | | | | Matrix: | |
| overall precision. results may have laboratory perform | These and more value in more value in more in | alyses measure bo ariability than labo also expected tha | taken and analyzed oth field and lab pre- pratory duplicates work to a soil duplicate result soil duplicate result sassociated with col | cision; t hich m ts will h | therefore, the easures only ave a greater |
| COMPOUND | SQL | SAMPLE CONC. | DUPLICATE CONC. | RPD | ACTION |
| | | | | | |
| No field/laboratory RPD used to ass | duplicate ess precisi | l analyzed with this ion. RPD within lab limits | data package. BS/BS oratory and generally | SD reco | veries results table control |
| | | | | | |
| RPD ± 30% for aqu | ueous sam | nples, RPD + 50 % | ct-specific information | esults a | re > SQL. |
| If both samples an | d duplicate | are <5 SQL, the f | RPD criteria is double | ed. | |
| SQL = soil quantita | ation limit | | | | |
| Actions: | | | | | |

If both the sample and the duplicate results are nondetects (ND), the RPD is not calculable (NC). No action is needed.

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria.

If one sample result is not detected and the other is $\geq 5x$ the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

Note: If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is < 5x the SQL, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

| All criteria were met _ | _X |
|--|----|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

- 1. Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
 - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target EPH
 Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified
 and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
 - The π-nonane (n-C9) peak must be adequately resolved from the solvent front of the chromatographic run.
 - All surrogates must be adequately resolved from the Aliphatic Hydrocarbon and Aromatic Hydrocarbon standards.
 - o For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
 - The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.
- 1a. Aliphatic hydrocarbons range:
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for n-C9 and 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19.
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19 and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for n-C36.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

- 1b. Aromatic hydrocarbons range:
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for naphthalene and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for benzo(g,h,i)perylene.
 - Determine the peak area count for the sample surrogate (OTP) and fractionation surrogate(s). Subtract these values from the collective area count value.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

| | | C | riteria were not | | were metX_ see below |
|------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| 2. | If target analytes a laboratory resubmit t | nd/or TICs were the corrected data | e not correctly a. | identified, | request that th |
| 3. | Breakthrough detention evaluated for potention with the front control of the front control of the front control of the total concentration or LCSD, fractionate | al breakthrough of actionation surrous naphthalene and ns of the LCS are thylnaphthaler tion for naphthaler | on a sample sp gate (2-bromor i 2-methylnaph and LCSD. If e he in the aliphatene or 2-met | ecific basis haphthalene hthalene in le hther the catic fraction hylnaphtha | by evaluating the and on a bate both the aliphatic concentration on exceeds 5% calene in the LC. |
| | NOTE: | methylnaphtha summation of | llene in the Le f the concer on and the co | CS/LCSD p | thalene or 2 air includes the etected in the detected in the |
| | Comments:Concer_concentration_for_r | ntration_in_the_a naphthalene_and | liphatic_fractio _2-methylnaph | n_<_5%_of thalene | _the_total |
| 1 . | Fractionation Check containing 14 alkane each constituent. The fractionation efficience optimum hexane volunot allowing significationation in the fractionation of the fractional of | es and 17 PAHs of Fractionation Clook of each new to of each new to of each new to of each new of each | at a nominal of heck Solution rot of silica gel/of efficiently elute lrocarbon breat solution, exclu | oncentration nust be use cartridges, a aliphatic hyd kthrough. F ding n-nona | n of 200 ng/µl of d to evaluate the and establish the drocarbons while for each analyte ane, the Percen |
| | Is a fractionation che | ck standard analy | zed? | | Yes? or No? |
| | Comments: Not appli | cable. | | | |

| All criteria were met _ | _X |
|--|----|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS AND SAMPLE RESULTS

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results.

In order to demonstrate the absence of aliphatic mass discrimination, the response ratio of C28 to C20 must be at least 0.85. If <0.85, this nonconformance must be noted in the laboratory case narrative.

The chromatograms of Continuing Calibration Standards for aromatics must be reviewed to ensure that there are no obvious signs of mass discrimination.

Is aliphatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

Is aromatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

1. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Blank Spike

EPH (C11 – C22, Aromatics)

RF = 98200

[] = (41341543)/(98200)

[] = 421 ppb Ok

Blank Spike

EPH (C19 - C36, Aliphatics)

RF = 66810

[] = (967669)/(66810)

[] = 14.48 ppb Ok

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

- 2. If requested, verify that the results were above the laboratory method detection limit (MDLs).
- 3. If dilutions performed, were the SQLs elevated accordingly by the laboratory? List the affected samples and dilution factor in the table below.

| SAMPLE ID | DILUTION FACTOR | REASON FOR DILUTION |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| If dilution was not performed, affected samples/compounds: | estimate result: | s (J) for the | affected | compounds. | List the |
|--|------------------|---------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | | | A | · · · · | |

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

MC45816

Laboratory:

Accutest, Massachusetts

Analysis:

MADEP VPH

Number of Samples:

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Four (4) samples were analyzed for Volatiles TPHC Ranges by method MADEP VPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF VOLATILE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (VPH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the

primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

- % differences in the rt5.5-7 hydrocarbon range did not meet the method and 1. guidance document performance criteria in the initial calibration verification. Results qualified as estimated (J) or (UJ) in affected samples (JC45816-1; -3; -4; -5).
- JC45816-1 MS/MSD recoveries for C5-C8 hydrocarbon range below the lower control limits. Results for this hydrocarbon range qualified as estimated (J) in the unspiked sample.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

May 31, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: MC45816-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/6/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics | Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics | Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) | Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | Analyte Name |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 50 | 47.7 | 50 | 46.6 | 66.9 | Result |
| ug/L | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L | ug/L | Units |
| 1 | 1-1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Dilution Factor |
| ı | _ | 1 | _ | • | Lab Flag |
| C | 5 | – | Ξ | _ | Validation |
| Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Reportable |

Sample ID: MC45816-3

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/9/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

| Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics | Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics | Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.) | Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.) | Analyte Name |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 143 | 78.1 | 388 | 533 | 80.9 | Result |
| ug/L 1 | ug/L 1 | ug/L 1 | ug/L 1 | ug/L 1 | Units Dilution Factor 1 |
| ı | • | • | • | ι | Lab Flag |
| • | • | • | | _ | Validation |
| Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Reportable |

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

| Type of validation | Full:X Limited: | Date:05/06-0 | MC45816 09/2016 |
|--|---|--|---|
| | | EPA Region: | 05/09/20162 |
| REVIEW OF V | OLATILE PETROLEU | M HYDROCARBON | (VPHs) PACKAGE |
| validation actions. This more informed decision were assessed according precedence METHO HYDROCARBONS (VI (2004). Also the gene Support Section. The Common control of the cont | s document will assist the in and in better serving the data validation fing to the data validation D FOR THE DETE PH), Massachusetts Deparal validation guidelines | e reviewer in using pro the needs of the data on guidance documen ERMINATION OF artment of Environmer promulgated by the lation actions listed on | eated to delineate required fessional judgment to make users. The sample results its in the following order of VOLATILE PETROLEUM ntal Protection, Revision 1.1 USEPA Hazardous Wastes the data review worksheets |
| The hardcopied (labo received has been revi review for SVOCs inclu | ewed and the quality con | st_Laboratories otrol and performance | data package data summarized. The data |
| Lab. Project/SDG No.: No. of Samples: Field blank No.; | 4 | | ix:Groundwater |
| Equipment blank No.: | | | |
| 111p Didilk 140 | | | |
| X Data Complet _X Holding Time _N/A_ GC/MS Tunin _N/A_ Internal Stand _X Blanks _X Surrogate Re | teness s g lard Performance | X Laboratory C X Field Duplica X Calibrations X Compound to X Compound C X Quantitation | ites Jentifications |
| Overall Comm (C5_to_C12_Aliphatics | ents: _Volatiles ;_C9_to_C10_Aromatics) | _by_GC_by_Method_M | MADEP_VPH,_REV_1.1 |
| Definition of Qualifiers: | | | |
| U- Estimated resulu- Compound not R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated not Reviewer: 100 | detected | | |
| | | | |

| | Criteria were not n | All criteria were metx net and/or see below |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| I. DATA COMPLETNE A. Data Packag | | |
| MISSING INFORMATION | DATE LAB. CONTACTED | DATE RECEIVED |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | 3 |
| | | |
| B. Other | | Discrepancies: |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| All criteria were metX |
|--|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below |

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

| SAMPLE ID | DATE SAMPLED | DATE EXTRACTED | DATE ANALYZED | ACTION |
|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|---------|
| | | | | |
| Sa | amples analyzed | within method re | commended holdin | ng time |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Criteria

Preservation:

Samples analyzed with ambient purge temperature: Samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Samples analyzed with heated purge temperature: Samples must be treated to a pH of 11.0 or greater at the time of collection.

Methanol preservation of soil/sediment samples is mandatory. Methanol (purgeand-trap grade) must be added to the sample vial before or immediately after sample collection. In lieu of the in-field preservation of samples with methanol, soil samples may be obtained in specially-designed air tight sampling devices, provided that the samples are extruded and preserved in methanol within 48 hours of collection.

Holding times:

Aqueous samples using ambient or heated purge - analyze within 14 days. Soil/sediment samples - analysis within 28 days.

| Cooler temperature (Criteria; | 4 ± 2 °C): | 5.6°C |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------|
|-------------------------------|------------|-------|

Actions: Qualify positive results/nondetects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ). If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R). If samples were not at the proper temperature (> 10°C) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

| | All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below |
|---|---|
| | Onteria were not met and/or see below |
| CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION | |
| Compliance requirements for satis ensure that the instrument is ca quantitative data. | factory instrument calibration are established to pable of producing and maintaining acceptable |
| | Date of initial calibration:04/20/16 |
| | Dates of initial calibration verification:04/20/16_ |
| | Instrument ID numbers:GCBH |
| | Matrix/Level: AQUEOUS/MEDIUM |

| DATE | LAB FILE ID# | ANALYTE | CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r | SAMPLES AFFECTED |
|------|--|---------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| _ | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | W | | * |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Note: Initial and initial calibration verification meet method specific requirements.

Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be
 equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest.
 When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the
 average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C5-C8 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons and C9-C12 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Calculate the collective CF for the C9-C10 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the PID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.

Criteria- CCAL

 At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples, and at the end of the analytical sequence by the

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

- injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.
- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than ±25%, a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte. Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

| Date of initial calibration: | 04/20/16_ | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Dates of continuing calibration | ation verification:_ | 05/10/16_ |
| Dates of final calibration v | /erification: | _05/10/16 |
| Instrument ID numbers: | GCBH | |
| Matrix/Level:AQUE | OUS/MEDIUM | |

| DATE | LAB FILE ID# | ANALYTE | CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r | SAMPLES AFFECTED |
|------------|-----------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Instrument | : GCBH | | | |
| 05/10/16 | cc2295-50 | rt5.5-7 | 34.6 | MC45816-1; -3; -4; |
| 05/10/16 | cc2295-50 | rt5.5-7 | 34.7 | -5 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| _ | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | |

Note: Continuing and final calibration verification meet method specific requirements except for the case described above. Results for hydrocarbons in this range above reporting limits are qualified as estimated (J) non-detects are qualified (UJ).

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

| | | | Criteria were not | All criteria were metX_ met and/or see below |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| VA. BLANK | ANALYSIS R | ESULTS (Se | ections 1 & 2) | |
| magnitude of comproblems with evaluated to decase, or if the | contamination ted with the sany blanks extermine whet problem is an must be run | problems. The amples, included in the case of the case | ne criteria for evaluding trip, equiprola associated with ere is an inherer currence not affects suspected of | determine the existence and fluation of blanks apply only to nent, and laboratory blanks. If it the case must be carefully it variability in the data for the cting other data. A Laboratory being highly contaminated to |
| List the contant separately. | nination in the | blanks belo | w. High and low | levels blanks must be treated |
| Laboratory blar | nks | | | |
| DATE ANALYZED | LAB ID | LEVEL/ MATRIX | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS |
| Field/Trip/Equip | | | | RITERIA |
| A methanol trip | blank or acidi nent sample | fied reagent or water s | water trip blank s ample batch, re | hould continually accompany espectively, during sampling, |
| DATE ANALYZED | LAB ID | LEVEL/ MATRIX | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS |
| _NO_TRIP/FIEI _PACKAGE | LD/EQUIPME | NT_BLANKS | S_ASSOCIATED_ | WITH_THIS_DATA |

| All criteria were met | X |
|--|---|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is \geq SQL but < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

CAMDIEID

| All criteria were metX |
|--|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below |

ACTION

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery. Matrix: solid/aqueous

CURROCATE COMPOSINO

| OAMI EE ID | 2,3,4-Trifluoro | | טו | , | ACTION |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|------------|-------------|
| _SURROGATE | _STANDARD_R | ECOVERIES | S_WITHIN_LAE | BORATORY_C | CONTROL |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | · | |
| QC Limits* (Aq | ueous) | | | | |
| | JL 70 | to_130 | to | to | |
| LL_to_t | • | to | to | to | |

It is recommended that surrogate standard recoveries be monitored and documented on a continuing basis. At a minimum, when surrogate recovery from a sample, blank, or QC sample is less than 70% or more than 130%, check calculations to locate possible errors, check the fortifying standard solution for degradation, and check changes in instrument performance.

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- (1) Obvious interference is present on the chromatogram (e.g., unresolved complex mixture);
- (2) Percent moisture of associated soil/sediment sample is >25% and surrogate recovery is >10%; or
- (3) The surrogate exhibits high recovery and associated target analytes or hydrocarbon ranges are not detected in sample.

If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

| All criteria were metX | _ |
|--|---|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | - |

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- Matrix duplicate Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 70 130% of the true value. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible (if included in the calibration of the C9-C12 aliphatic range), but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.</p>

| MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision | Criteria | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-------------|
| Sample ID:_MC45816-1_MS/MSD_ | | Matrix | /Level:_Groun | dwater |
| List the %Rs, RPD of the compound | ds which do not | meet ti | he QC criteria. | |
| MS OR MSD COMPOUND | % R | RPD | QC LIMITS | ACTION |
| _MS/MSDC5C8_Aliphatics_ | (Unadj.)_69/69_ | % | _70130 | _See_below_ |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

(UJ).

Note: Results for this hydrocarbon range qualified as estimated (J) or

| All criteria were met | X |
|--|---|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

No action is taken on MS/MSD results alone to qualify the entire case. However, used informed professional judgment, the data reviewer may use the MS/MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data. In those instances where it can be determined that the results of the MS/MSD affect only the sample spiked, the qualification should be limited to this sample alone. However, it may be determined through the MS/MSD results that the laboratory is having a systematic problem in the analysis of one or more analytes, which affects the associated samples.

2. MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds

List the concentrations of the unspiked compounds and determine the % RSDs of these compounds in the unspiked sample, matrix spike, and matrix spike duplicate.

| | CONCENTR | | | | |
|----------|----------|--------|-----|----------|-----------------|
| COMPOUND | SAMPLE | MS | MSD | %RPD | ACTION |
| | A | 3.1946 | | 2000 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | - | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | - 0 | | 22 (4.15.17 PM) |
| | | | | - (2 | |

Criteria: None specified, use %RSD ≤ 50 as professional judgment.

Actions:

If the % RSD > 50, qualify the results in the spiked sample as estimate (J). If the % RSD is not calculable (NC) due to nondetect value in the sample, MS, and/or MSD, use professional judgment to qualify sample data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

| All criteria were metX |
|--|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below |

VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

| LCS ID | COMPOUND | % R | QC LIMIT | ACTION | | | | |
|---|----------|--|----------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| _LCS_RECOVERY_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMTS | | | | | | | | |
| | | ······································ | | | | | | |
| | | | | . | | | | |

Criteria:

- * Refer to QAPP for specific criteria.
- * The spike recovery must be between 70% and 130%. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible (if included in the calibration of the C9-C12 aliphatic range). If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative.

Actions:

Actions on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds that are outside the %R criteria and the magnitude of the excedance of the criteria.

If the %R of the analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in the associated samples and accept nondetects.

If the %R of the analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects for the affected analyte in the associated samples.

If more than half the compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the associated samples.

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples per matrix)? Yes or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected. Discuss the actions below:

| All criteria were metN/A Criteria were not met and/or see below | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| IX. FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION | | | | | | | | |
| Sample IDs: | | | ^ | /latrix: | | | | |
| Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which measures only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples. | | | | | | | | |
| COMPOUND | SQL | SAMPLE CONC. | DUPLICATE CONC. | RPD | ACTION | | | |
| No field/laborator | v duplicate | analyzed with this | data package. MS/M | SD rec | overies RPD | | | |
| used to assess pr | ecision. RI | PD within laborator | y and generally acce | ptable | control limits. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria: | | | | | | | | |
| The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information. RPD \pm 30% for aqueous samples, RPD \pm 50 % for solid samples if results are \geq SQL. If both samples and duplicate are $<$ 5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled. | | | | | | | | |
| SQL = soil quantitation limit | | | | | | | | |
| Actions: | | | | | | | | |
| If both the sample and the duplicate results are nondetects (ND), the RPD is not calculable (NC). No action is needed. | | | | | | | | |
| Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. | | | | | | | | |

If one sample result is not detected and the other is $\geq 5x$ the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

Note: If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional

If one sample value is not detected and the other is < 5x the SQL, use professional

| All criteria were met | X |
|--|---|
| Criteria were not met and/or see below | |

XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

- Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
 - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target VPH
 Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
 - Coelution of the m- and p- xylene isomers is permissible.
 - o All surrogates must be adequately resolved from individual Target Analytes included in the VPH Component Standard.
 - For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
 - o The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.

Note: Target analytes were within the retention time window.

2. If target analytes and/or TICs were not correctly identified, request that the laboratory resubmit the corrected data.

| 5, 11, 1 | WEVIEW WORKSHEETS | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | criteria were metX t and/or see below | | | | |
| XII. | QUANTITATION LIMITS AND SAMPLE RESULTS | | | | | | |
| The sa | ample quantitation eva | aluation is to verify laboratory quanti | tation results. | | | | |
| 1.: | 1. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation: | | | | | | |
| MC45 | 816-1 | VPH (C7 - C10 Aliphatics) | $RF = 1.033 \times 10^6$ | | | | |
| FID | | | | | | | |
| []=(1 | 4679035)/(1.033 x 10 | 6) | | | | | |
| []=1 | 4.2 ppb Ok | | | | | | |
| MC45 | 816-1MS | VPH (C9 – C10 Aromatics) | RF = 6.440 x 10 ⁵ | | | | |
| PID | | | | | | | |
| []=(8 | 8348392)/(6.440 x 10 | 5) | | | | | |
| []=1 | 37.2 ppb Ok | | | | | | |
| 2. limit (N | If requested, verify the Industrial of the Indus | hat the results were above the lab | oratory method detection | | | | |
| 3. | | d, were the SQLs elevated accord | | | | | |

3. lf List the affected samples and dilution factor in the table below.

| SAMPLE ID | DILUTION FACTOR | REASON FOR DILUTION | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | concentration | |
|-------------|--------|-----------|------------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|-------|---------------|-------|
| estimate re | esuits | (J) for f | the affect | ted comp | oounds. | List the | e affecte | ed sa | mples/compou | ınds: |
| | | • • | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |